

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/880,097	06/14/2001	Anton Wellstein	102728-P01-004 3830	
28120 ROPES & GRA	7590 10/29/2007 AVIIP	EXAMINER		
PATENT DOC	KETING 39/41	KOLKER, DANIEL E		
BOSTON, MA	ATIONAL PLACE 02110-2624	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,		·	1649	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/880,097	WELLSTEIN, ANTON	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Daniel Kolker	1649	

.,	LAdillilei	Aitoill	ĺ			
	Daniel Kolker	1649	•			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 01 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>01 October 2007</u> . A be the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replacements.	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	11.37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
<ul> <li>(b)  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	• • •					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).			•			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: None.						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>122 and 123</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>95,96,99-101,103-105 and 119-121</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>106-118</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
DK 10/24/07						
10/24/07	•					

**Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)** 

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments introduce new limitations which change the scope of the claimed invention and therefore have not previously been searched or considered, particularly with respect to prior art, and also with respect to written description. The proposed amendment to independent claim 95 excludes full-length SEQ ID NO:2, so further consideration as to what is in fact described is required.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The proposed amendments have not been entered, therefore no claim rejections are withdrawn. However, if the proposed amendment is later entered, it appears the art rejections of record would be withdrawn.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The remarks and arguments are drawn to proposed amendments which have not been entered and therefore are currently moot.

ROBERT C. HAYES, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER